

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,646	08/21/2003	Quentin J. Clark	MSFT-2734/305604.01	9792
41505 75	590 03/09/2005		EXAM	INER
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR			CORRIELUS, JEAN M	
	HIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2162	
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/646,646	CLARK ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jean M Corrielus	2162		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	August 2003.			
	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	rawn from consideration.			
pplication Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	`		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '	, ,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •		
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		, , , , ,		
	ority documents have been receiv			
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage		
application from the International Bure	ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage ed.		

Application/Control Number: 10/646,646 Page 2

Art Unit: 2162

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the application filed on August 21, 2003, in which claims 1-19 are presenting for examination.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on Feb 12, 2004 complies with the provisions of M.P.E.P. 609. It has been placed in the application file. The information referred therein has been considered as to the merit.

## **Drawings**

3. Applicants are required to furnish the formal drawings in response this office action. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Failure to timely submit a drawing will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kumar et al., (hereinafter "Kumar") US Patent No. 6,343,287

As to claim 1, Kumar discloses the claimed "a database engine" (online data service, an internet search engine or database management software, See Kumar's col.11, lines 1-9); "a data store implemented on the database engine for storing data therein (col.3, lines 40-56; col.5, lines 10-30; col.7, lines 11-18), wherein the data store implements a data model that supports the organization, searching, sharing, synchronization, and security of data stored in the data store and wherein specific types of data are described in schemas" (col.6, lines 60-67; col.18, lines 18-29); and "an application programming interface that enables application programs to access services and capabilities of the storage platform (col.7, lines 12-18) and to access the data described in the schemas" (col.18, lines 18-30; col.2, lines 7-24; col.7, lines 12-18), "wherein the storage platform (col.7, lines 12-18) further supports interoperability with existing file systems (col.2, lines 7-24; col.2, lines 25-35), enables users and systems to synchronize data stored in different instances of the data store, and provides the ability for application programs to be notified about and to track changes made to the data in the data store" (col.4, lines 34-40).

As to claim 2, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein data in the data store is defined in terms of items, elements (col.3, lines 40-56; col.5, lines 10-30; col.7, lines 11-18), and relationships (link), wherein an item is a unit of data storable in the data store and comprises one or more elements, an element is an instance of a type comprising one or more fields, and a relationship is a link between at least two items" (col.11, lines 10-18; col.14, lines 43-57; col.15, lines 33-40).

As to claim 3, Kumar discloses the claimed "a set of schemas that define different types of items, elements, and relationships, and wherein the application programming interface comprises a class for each of the different items, elements, and relationships defined in the set of schemas" (col.18, lines 18-29; col.20, lines 60-67).

Page 4

As to claim 4, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein data may also be stored in the data store in the form of an extension to an existing item type, and wherein the application programming interface comprises a class for each different item extension" (col.2, lines 25-35; col.5, lines 5-7).

As to claim 5, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein the class for each type of item, element, and relationship is generated automatically based on the set of schemas that define each type of item, element, and relationship" (fig.7A).

As to claim 6, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein the classes for each type of item, element, and relationship define a set of data classes, and wherein the application programming interface further comprises a second set of classes that define a common set of behaviors for the data classes" (col.15, lines 20-43).

As to claim 7, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein the second set of classes comprise a first class that represents a storage platform scope and that provides the context for queries on the data store and a second class the represents the results of a query on the data store" (col. 15, lines 20-43; and col. 16, lines 8-30).

As to claim 8, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein the different types of items, elements, and relationships in the data store are implemented in the database engine as user-defined types (UDT)" (col.15, lines 20-43; col.14, lines 43-55; col.13, lines 1-20).

As to claim 9, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein the application programming interface provides a query model that enables application programmers to form queries based on various properties of the items in the data store, in a manner that insulates the application programmer from the details of the query language of the database engine" (col.16, lines 8-58).

As to claim 10, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein a plurality of items in the data store may comprise an item Folder and at least one other item that is a member of the item Folder" (col.3, lines 40-57).

As to claim 11, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein a plurality of items in the data store may comprise a Category and at least one other item that is a member of said Category" (col.13, lines 46-62).

As to claim 12, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein a relationship between two items is established automatically by a hardware/software interface system" (col.9, lines 63-67).

As to claim 13, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein an element is understandable by a hardware/software interface system" (col.9, line 63-col.10, line 5).

As to claim 14, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein a relationship comprises an element" (col.11, lines 10-15; col.20, lines 60-67).

As to claim 15, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein said set of schemas comprises a Core Schema that defines a set of Core Items by which the storage platform understands and directly processes said set of Core items in a predetermined and predictable way" (col.11, line 66-col.12, line 11; col.12, lines 55-67).

As to claim 16, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein each type of item defined in the set of Core items is derived from a single common base item" (col.15, lines 30-43).

As to claim 17, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein said single common base item is a foundational item in a base schema" (col.15, lines 30-43).

As to claim 18, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein said database engine comprises a relational database engine" (col.11, lines 3-8; col.12, lines 45-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/646,646 Page 7

Art Unit: 2162

As to claim 19, Kumar discloses the claimed "wherein said relational database engine comprises a relational database engine with object relational extensions" (col.11, lines 3-8; col.12, lines 45-50).

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean M Corrielus whose telephone number is (571) 272-4032. The examiner can normally be reached on 10 hours shift.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217,19197 (toll-free).

Jean M Corrielus Primary Examiner Art Unit 2162

March 5, 2005